IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

AMELIA PATRICIA CROWELL,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Case No. 1:09-cv-01921
v.)	
)	Chief Judge James F. Holderman
)	Magistrate Judge Susan E. Cox
BANK OF AMERICA PENSION PLAN FOR)	
LEGACY COMPANIES, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

<u>DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 52; AND IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT UNDER FED. R. CIV. P. 56</u>

Defendants Bank of America Pension Plan for Legacy Companies ("BAC Pension Plan") and Bank of America Corporation ("BAC") (collectively, "Defendants") by their attorneys, Dykema Gossett PLLC, move pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 52 and applicable law for judgment affirming the administrator's denial of the appeal of Plaintiff Amelia Patricia Crowell ("Plaintiff" or "Crowell") and dismissing Crowell's Amended Complaint ("Complaint") in its entirety. In the alternative, Defendants move under Rule 56 for Summary Judgment on Plaintiff's

The most appropriate procedure for applying the standards of review and resolving this case is through the vehicle of a Rule 52 Motion, rather than summary judgment, absent any objection of the parties. *See*, *e.g.*, *Juszynski* v. *Life Ins. Co. of North America*, No. 06 CV 5503, 2008 WL 877977, at *5 (N.D. Ill. Mar 28, 2008); *Migliorisi* v. *Walgreens Disability Benefits Plan*, No. 06 C 3290, 2008 WL 904883 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 31, 2008); *Marshall* v. *Blue Cross Blue Shield Ass'n*, No. 04 C 6395, 2006 WL 2661039, at *1-2 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 13, 2006) (citing various authorities and concluding that a Rule 52 trial on the papers is an appropriate procedure for resolving ERISA benefits disputes, absent objections from the parties). Here, the parties have not objected to this method but have offered Rule 52 as an appropriate vehicle here. See Doc. 46 (5/25/2010 Report of Parties' Planning Meeting), at 2, 4. Thus, a Rule 52 review, based on the administrative record as expanded by the Court's May 12, 2010 Order, is the most proper method for resolution here.

Complaint. The grounds for Defendants' Motion are set forth in Defendants' Memorandum in Support, which is filed herewith.

Dated: August 2, 2010 Respectfully submitted,

BANK OF AMERICA PENSION PLAN FOR LEGACY COMPANIES and BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION.

By: s/ Patrick T. Stanton

Patrick T. Stanton (<u>pstanton@dykema.com</u>)

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC

10 South Wacker Drive, Suite 2300

Chicago, Illinois 60606 Phone: 312-627-2282 Fax: 312-627-2302

Paul A. Wilhelm (pwilhelm@dykema.com)

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC 400 Renaissance Center

Detroit, MI 48243 Phone: 313-568-6966 Fax: 313-568-6691

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 2, 2010 I electronically filed the foregoing paper using the

Court's ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Dianne M. Onichimowski (donichimowski@comcast.net) DMO LAW FIRM 111 E. Wacker Drive, Suite 2600 Chicago, IL 60601

Phone: (312) 474-7788 Fax: (312) 251-9701

By: <u>s/ Patrick T. Stanton</u>

Patrick T. Stanton (pstanton@dykema.com)

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC Attorneys for Defendants 10 S. Wacker Drive, Ste. 2300 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Phone: 312-627-2282 Fax: 312-627-2302